

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/838,698	04/19/2001	N. Kelley Grusin	33758.22.1	8976		
37902 75	90 02/19/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.			BARRETT, THOMAS C			
5677 AIRLINE ARLINGTON.	ROAD TN 38002-9501		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
,			3738	<u>á</u> n		
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	42		
	09/838,698	GRUSIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas C. Barrett	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 L	December 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10 and 12-20</u> is/are pending in th	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-7,9,10 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
of the subject to restriction and the	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· ·	ved in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	' ' '	hei			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the continue copies not recen				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,698

Art Unit: 3738

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-10, and 12-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Drawings**

New corrected drawings are required in this application because any replacement drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet" and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance. The Applicant based the corrections on old drawings, and not the drawings submitted with substitute specification of January 27, 2003.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,698

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-10, and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "A locking member for locking the connecting member non-rotatably within the bore, *in any of said infinite number of positions*." as in claims 1 and 12, was not described in the specification at the time the application was filed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-10, and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 12 recite the limitation "in any of said infinite number of positions". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims.

Claim 12 still recites the limitation "stem" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/838,698

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 12-13, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being by Pearl (6,589,282). Pearl discloses a modular shoulder prosthesis comprising: a stem (11), a hemi-spherical head (13), a non-coaxial bore within the flat side of the head (19), a connecting member (14), and a locking member (24). The connecting member further comprises: a first portion (15) which can be cylindrical (col. 5, lines 20-24) for rotatable insertion into the bore of the head, thus achieving a first degree of eccentricity (col. 5, lines 11-15), and a second portion non-coaxial with and extending from the first portion (17). This configuration and provides an infinite number of second degrees of eccentricity. The locking member can be a set-screw, which has a tool-engaging surface, and can lock the connecting member non-rotatably within the bore of the head in an infinite number of positions. The second portion of the connecting member can be a protruding pin having a Morse taper or can be cylindrical (col. 5, lines 6-10). The first portion can have detents, i.e. peripheral splines for engagement of recesses within the bore of the head (col.5, lines 11-15).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

NB

Claims 8 and 21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3738

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

**Thomas Barrett** 

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700